



**Clarion Conservation District**  
**Dirt, Gravel, and Low-Volume Road**  
**Grant Application Ranking**

<b>Applicant:</b>	
<b>Road Name:</b>	
<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Type of application:</b>	
	<b>Unpaved (Dirt and Gravel)</b>
	<b>Paved (Low Volume Road)</b>
<b>Total Score: _____ / 275</b>	

**SECTION 1: APPLICATION VALIDATION**

*circle choice*

- Does this road site negatively impact a stream, lake, wetland, or other water body?      YES      NO
- Will the proposed project reduce environmental impacts to a water body?      YES      NO
- Is someone from the applying entity "ESM Certified" within the past 5 year?      YES      NO
- Does the proposed application meet all SCC requirements (non-pollution, pipe size, etc.) YES      NO
- Does the proposed application meet all policies adopted by the local County QAB?      YES      NO
- Has the applicant identified and agreed to obtain all necessary permits?      YES      NO
- LVR ONLY: If the traffic count is known at this point, is it 500 vehicles per day or less?      YES      NO      unavailable

*If any of the questions above are answered "NO", the application is currently not eligible for funding.*

**SECTION 2: APPLICATION RANKING**

**SEVERITY OF PROBLEM**

**1. Worksite Assessment:**

- a. **Road Drainage to Stream:** \_\_\_\_\_ (15)  
 none-0 Slight-5 Moderate-10 Severe-15
- b. **Wet Site Conditions:** \_\_\_\_\_ (10)  
 Dry-0 Saturated Ditches-3 Roadside Springs-5 Flow in Ditches-7 Saturated Base-10
- c. **Road Surface Condition** \_\_\_\_\_ (15)
  - i. **LVR EVALUATION: Pavement Condition:** good-0 fair, some cracking-5  
 Poor, cracking, unevenness-7 Damaged-10 Severely Damaged-15
  - ii. **D&G EVALUATION:** Hard Gravel-0 Mixed Stone-5 Soft Stone-7  
 Mixed stone/dirt/dust-10 Severe Dust-15
- d. **Road Slope:** <5%-0 5-10%-5 >10%-10 \_\_\_\_\_ (10)
- e. **Road Shape (cross-slope/crown):** Good-0 Fair- 3 Poor-5 \_\_\_\_\_ (5)
- f. **Slope to Stream:** <30%-0 30-60%-3 >60%-5 \_\_\_\_\_ (5)
- g. **Distance to Stream:** >100'-0 50'-100'-3 <50'/crossing-5 \_\_\_\_\_ (5)
- h. **Outlets to Stream:** None-0 Near Stream-3 Directly to Stream-5 \_\_\_\_\_ (5)
- i. **Outlet/Bleeder Stability:** Stable-0 Moderate-3 Unstable-5 \_\_\_\_\_ (5)
- j. **Road Ditch Stability:** Stable-0 Fair-3 Poor-7 Unstable-10 \_\_\_\_\_ (10)
- k. **Road Bank Stability:** Stable-0 Fair-3 Poor-7 Unstable-10 \_\_\_\_\_ (10)
- l. **Average Canopy Cover:** Moderate-0 Minimal-3 Heavy-5 \_\_\_\_\_ (5)
- m. **Off-ROW Impacts resolved:** None-0 Minimal-3 Some-7 Many-10 \_\_\_\_\_ (10)

**Modified Assessment Subtotal: \_\_\_\_\_ (110)**

**2. Chapter 93 classification of stream or waterbody impacted:**

Warmwater Fishery-10 Coldwater Fishery-20 HQ/EV/drinking water-30 \_\_\_\_\_ (30)

**3. Trout classification of stream or waterbody impacted:**

Stocked trout-10 Natural Reproduction-20 Class A/Wilderness-30 \_\_\_\_\_ (30)

**EFFECTIVENESS OF SOLUTION**

**4. Degree to which project remediates impact to waterbody:**

Slightly-0 Moderately-10 Highly-30 Almost completely- 50 \_\_\_\_\_ (50)

**5. Degree to which project improves road:**

Slightly-0 Moderately-5 Highly-10 Extremely high- 15 \_\_\_\_\_ (15)

**\*\*Cost effectiveness: How much "environmental benefit per dollar" (benefit per cost)?**

Provided for reference: Linear projects: \$\_\_\_\_\_ per foot

Reason project is not considered linear: \_\_\_\_\_

**OTHER FACTORS**

**6. Did applicant contact CD about this specific project before submitting application: \_\_\_\_\_ (15)**

No-0 Discussed site details with CD-10 Met w/CD on site-15

**7. Is applicant maintaining recently funded Program projects properly: \_\_\_\_\_ (15)**

No-0 Recent projects still functional-10 Yes (or first project)-15

**8. Have DGLVR funds been used on this road in the past? Y\_\_N\_\_AMT \$\_\_\_\_\_**

a. Is this a phased project? \_\_\_\_\_ (10)

**\*\* In-kind contributions from Applicant (tie-breaker points) \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4)**

None- 0 1-5%- 1 6-10%-2 11-30%-3 >30%- 4

**Special Concerns or Comments:**

**Point Summary:**

**Severity of Problem:** \_\_\_\_\_ (170 possible points)

**Effectiveness of Solution:** \_\_\_\_\_ (65 possible points)

**Other Factors:** \_\_\_\_\_ (40 possible points)

**TOTAL SCORE:** \_\_\_\_\_ (275 possible points)